

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,292	RALPH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brian E. Pellegrino.

(2) Michael Doherty.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*that of record*
**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Harrington*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*We discussed clarifying that the convex element being movable is after the device is fully assembled and not that the components are movable before or during assembly. Mr. Doherty gave authorization for the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brian Pellegrino  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)